6/2/2009

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700114	

### Statement of Planning Objectives

The Cow Mountain Recreation Plan will focus on the activity level and site-specific decisions. Objectives include:

- 1. Development of a comprehensive travel management system that improves and sustains recreation opportunities: utilizing GPS technology to ground-truth existing trails, utilizing GPS technology determine average trail slope percentage and maximum slope percentage, identify potential new trail development, identify trail connectors, identify trail re-routes, and identify creek/stream crossings and structures that need to be replaced.
- 2.Improve/revise map and visitor information: re-develop visitor map based on GPS technology, expand on proper safe riding equipment, rules and regulations, and educate/emphasize importance of riding etiquette.
- 3. Address safety concerns: identify, map, and photograph emergency/search and rescue rendezvous locations/helicopter landing zones, identify, map, and photograph latitude and longitude at all trail intersections, expand visitor education to minimize resource impacts.
- 4. Development: identify potential access points, identify potential new facilities,
- 5. Development of business plan: determine fees based on amenities provided
- 6. Soil Monitoring: develop soil monitoring plan
- 7. Develop maintenance and replacement schedule: currently using 3 year rotation for trail maintenance and want to develop similar schedule for signs, culverts, creek/stream crossing structures, restrooms, etc.
- 8. Identify types of recreation experiences for zones
- 9. Complete cultural inventory for existing trails and potential new development
- 10. Development of a draft and final recreation plan.

#### В. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

Recreation Plan is the next step following the recently completed Resource Management Plan (RMP). While an RMP is very broad and offers general guidance, the recreation plan goal is to address relevant issues, identify specific development of trails, facilities, and potential access points, identify types of experiences, identify types of uses, identify trail network system, develop accurate map, establish programs to monitor and report impacts to sensitive resources, establish business plan, and identify education/outreach programs.

BLM encourages federal, state and county agencies, native american tribes, stakeholders, offers general users (not affiliated with clubs or groups), and clubs/groups an opportunity to discuss their ideas for development.

This effort provides to the publid a clear direction for the area.

#### **Statement of Activities** C.

This grant will complete a recreational plan for South Cow Mtn ready for implementation. Tasks required for this project include but limited to GPSing trails, assessing the condition of the trails (Green, Yellow, Red), contract management for tasks (GPSing, cultural inventory, etc), cultural inventory of some existing (cultural inventories have been completed for some trails), cultural inventory of proposed new trails and new facilities, coordination of stakeholder and public meetings, printing and distribution of draft and final plan for public comment, map development for planning effort and development of revised visitor map.

### D. **List of Reports**

Final product will be a Cow Mtn recreational plan for future management of the area and revised visitor map.

Version # Page: 1 of 9

# Additional Documentation for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700114	
1.	Timeline for Completion Attachments:			Cow Mtn Planning
2.	Optional Project-Specific Application I	Documents		
3.	Optional Project-specific Maps Attachments:			South Cow Mtn

Version # Page: 2 of 9

# Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP #	
APPLICANT NAME :	BLM - Ukiah Field Office			
PROJECT TITLE :	Planning Cow Mountain		PROJECT NUMBI (Division use only	
PROJECT TYPE :	☐ Acquisition	Development	Education & Safety	Ground Operations
PROJECT TIPE.	Law Enforcement	Planning	Restoration	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION :	1. Development of a compreher truth existing trails, utilizing GPS development, identify trail connormal control contr	nsive travel management system that Stechnology determine average trail ectors, identify trail re-routes, and idea information: re-develop visitor map asize importance of riding etiquette. Entify, map, and photograph emerger de at all trail intersections, expand vitial access points, identify potential number determine fees based on amenities monitoring plan eplacement schedule: currently using structures, restrooms, etc. experiences for zones or existing trails and potential new determine trails and potential new determine trails and potential new determine trails and potential new determined trails are supplied to the province of the province of trails are supplied to the province of trails are su	es provided  3 year rotation for trail maintenance and wa	ities: utilizing GPS technology to ground- entage, identify potential new trail that need to be replaced. er safe riding equipment, rules and /helicopter landing zones, identify, map, and s.

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total		
DIREC	DIRECT EXPENSES								
Progra	Program Expenses								
1	Staff								
	Resources Staff	5.000	7500.000	MOS	0.00	37,500.00	37,500.00		
	GIS Specialist	2.000	7500.000	MOS	0.00	15,000.00	15,000.00		
	Management and Admin Staff	1.000	7500.000	MOS	3,750.00	3,750.00	7,500.00		
	Other-Recreation Planner	2.000	7500.000	MOS	7,500.00	7,500.00	15,000.00		
	Other-Archeologist	2.000	7500.000	MOS	15,000.00	0.00	15,000.00		
	Total for Staff				26,250.00	63,750.00	90,000.00		

Version # Page: 3 of 9

# Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	иом	Grant Request	Match	Total
2	Contracts	Contracts					
	Other-GIS data collection  Notes: Includes tasks such as data collection, data correction, creation of data dictionary, final trails & trail condition data layer, etc.	1.000	100000.000	PKG	100,000.00	0.00	100,000.00
	Other-cultural clearances  Notes : contract with Sonoma State to conduct inventory and produce final report	1.000	30000.000	PKG	30,000.00	0.00	30,000.00
	Total for Contracts				130,000.00	0.00	130,000.00
3	Materials / Supplies						
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
	Printing  Notes: printing of draft and final plan for distribution	120.000	65.000	PKG	7,800.00	0.00	7,800.00
7	Administrative Costs						
Total	Program Expenses		164,050.00	63,750.00	227,800.00		
TOTA	OTAL DIRECT EXPENSES				164,050.00	63,750.00	227,800.00
тота	OTAL EXPENDITURES 164,050.00 63,750.00 227,800.00						

Page: 4 of 9 Version #

# Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative	
DIRECT EXPENSES						
Progr	am Expenses				_	
l	Staff	26,250.00	63,750.00	90,000.00		
2	Contracts	130,000.00	0.00	130,000.00		
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00		
1	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00		
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00		
6	Others	7,800.00	0.00	7,800.00		
7	Administrative Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Γotal	Program Expenses	164,050.00	63,750.00	227,800.00		
ГОТА	L DIRECT EXPENSES	164,050.00	63,750.00	227,800.00		
ГОТА	L EXPENDITURES	164,050.00	63,750.00	227,800.00		

Version # Page: 5 of 9

6/2/2009
Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS) for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office
Application: Planning Cow Mountain

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700114				
ı	ITEM 1 and ITEM 2						
	ITEM 1						
a.	ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determ (Please select Yes or No)	nination (NOD) been f	iled for the Project?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2						
b.	ITEM 2 - Are the proposed activities a " (Please select Yes or No)	'Project" under CEQA	Guidelines Section 15378?	С	Yes	•	No
C.	The Application is requesting funds solo and ensure public safety. These activiti environment and are thus not a "Project	es would not cause a	ny physical impacts on the	s 🍙	Yes	С	No
d.	Other. Explain why proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT com	- ·	physical impacts on the env	ironm	nent and	d are	thus not
ı	ITEM 3 - Impact of this Project on Wetl	lands					
ı	ITEM 4 - Cumulative Impacts of this Pr	roject					
ı	ITEM 5 - Soil Impacts						
ı	ITEM 6 - Damage to Scenic Resources	<b>;</b>					
ı	ITEM 7 - Hazardous Materials						
	Is the proposed Project Area located or Section 65962.5 of the California Gover select Yes or No)			С	Yes	C	No
	If YES, describe the location of the haz taken to minimize or avoid the hazards.		ject site, the level of hazard	and	the mea	asure	s to be
ı	ITEM 8 - Potential for Adverse Impacts	s to Historical or Cul	tural Resources				
	Would the proposed Project have poter historical or cultural resources? (Pleas		al adverse impacts to	С	Yes	C	No
	If YES, describe the potential impacts a cultural resources and measures to be		· ·	ignifi	cance o	of hist	orical or
ı	ITEM 9 - Indirect Significant Impacts						
(	CEQA/NEPA Attachment						

Page: 6 of 9 Version #

# Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

FOR OFFICE USE ON	NLY: Version #	APP # 700114						
Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Au	ito populates from Cost	Estimate)						
<ol> <li>As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is 3</li> </ol>								
(Check the one most appropriate	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)							
76% or more (10 points)		C 51% - 75% (5 points)						
© 26% - 50% (3 points)		C 25% (Match minimum) (No points)						
2. Planning Project - Q 2.								
A Planning Project - Page 1								
2. The Planning Project would add	ress the following 0							
(Check all that apply) (Please se	elect applicable values)							
Potential effects of OHV Re	ecreation on special-statu	s species habitats						
Potential effects of OHV Re	ecreation on cultural reso	urces						
Potential effects of OHV Re		•						
▼ Potential effects of OHV Re								
Potential effects of OHV Re	ecreation on adjacent land	ds.						
Potential impact to relations	ships between OHV Recr	eation and local residents						
Toxic or hazardous materia	als within a Project Area o	r adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation						
▼ Trail issues such as traffic p	patterns, trails closures, a	ppropriate uses, etc.						
B. Planning Project - Page 2								
Explain each statement that was	s checked							
		map, complete cultural clearances for trail network and closure policy and identify types of uses and their						
(Check the one most appropriate	e) (Please select one fror	n list)						
6 or more items checked (		C 4 to 5 items checked (3 points)						
© 2 to 3 items checked (2 po	•	© 1 or no items checked (No points)						
3. Motorized Access - Q 3.								
	und facilities that provide	materized appear to the following						
nonmotorized recreation opportu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	motorized access to the following						
(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2	points each, up to a maxi	mum of 6 points (Please select applicable values)						
Camping		<b>☑</b> Birding						
Hiking		Equestrian trails						
☐ Fishing		☐ Rock Climbing						
Other (Specify) [hunting, do viewing]	og training, wildife							
4. Public Input - Q 4.								

Version # Page: 7 of 9

4. The Project proposal was developed with public input employing the following 2

(Check all that apply) Scoring: Maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values)

## Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

		Application: I failing Cow Modificant
		<ul> <li>✓ Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)</li> <li>☐ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)</li> <li>✓ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)</li> </ul>
		Explain each statement that was checked  Meetings have been conducted with several clubs/groups and generally interested visitors regarding the up coming plan.
5.	,	Stakeholder Input - Q 5.
	5.	If the Project were approved, the planning process would incorporate substantial stakeholder input: 5
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)  No (No points)  Yes (5 points)
		If 'Yes', explain, specifically, how it would be 'substantial'. Identify stakeholders
		Mendocino 4x4, north bay motorcycle club, Clearlake Horsemen Association, Ukiah Valley Trails Group, IMBA, and other visitors (not affiliated with a club) have expressed interest in assisting with trail layout, potential new facilities, and overall plan development.
6.	ı	Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6.
	6.	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are 4
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)  4 or more (4 points)  1 (1 point)  None (No points)
		List partner organization(s)
		IMBA, Ukiah Valley Trails group, Mendocino 4x4, North Bay Motorcycle club, Clearlake Horsemen Association, and other individuals (not affiliated with a club).
7.	,	Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7.
	7.	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the following manner 14
		(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)  ✓ Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity (4 points)  ✓ Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (3 points)  ✓ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent to population centers (3 points)  ✓ Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (2 points)  ✓ Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (2 points)
		Explain each statement that was checked  Project will develop recreation management plan for OHV opportunity, will support and direct development of OHV opportunities adjacent to Ukiah and Lakeport as well as for the San Francisco and Sacramento Metropolitan areas will develop and designate a trail network, will identify locations for OHV opportunities (in South Cow) where there currently are none, and will complete initial (cultural, biological) environmental review for proposed development
8.	ı	Identification of Funding Sources - Q 8.
	8.	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified 0
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)  No (No points)  Yes (5 points)

Version # Page: 8 of 9

### Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Ukiah Field Office Application: Planning Cow Mountain

Explain	'Yes'	response
---------	-------	----------

Reference Document

### 9. Offsite Impacts - Q 9.

9. The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff): 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

No (No points)

Fig. (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response

Plan would provide well designed trail network and entice users to recreate on existing trails versus intrusions onto undisturbed private lands or meadow areas. This would minimize fugitive dust, soil erosion, trespass and noise.

Version # Page: 9 of 9